

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/592,963	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BHATTACHARYA, RAGHU N	
	<b>Examiner</b> David M. Brunsman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) David M. Brunsman.

(2) PAul White.

**Date of Interview:** 20 July 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**  
**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*none*

**Claims discussed:**  
 3

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
*none*

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner proposed fixing typographical error of claim 3 by deleting "triethyl amine" therefrom and adding new claim 20 directed to triethyl amine*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David M Brunsman/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)